

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/532,468</p>	<p>Applicant(s) MIYASHITA ET AL.</p>
	<p>Examiner Qutbuddin Ghulamali</p>	<p>Art Unit 2611</p>

All Participants:

(1) Qutbuddin Ghulamali

(2) Mark D. Saralino

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 29

Prior art documents discussed:
 No

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant's representative agrees to cancel claim 29 as noted in the examiner's amendment so as to advance prosecution in the case.

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:27am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)